

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,282 08/20/2004		Mikio Ikenishi	330-281	5541
23117	7590 10/17/2006	EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC 901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			FALASCO, LOUIS V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1773	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>_</u>	/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/505,282	IKENISHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Louis Falasco	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Oc. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
					Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or expectation Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the expectation of the expectati
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/505,282

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Papers received

- 1. Applicants amendment received 8/20/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Applicants Information Disclosure Statement filed 10/26/04 is acknowledged.
- 3. Applicants PCT priority under 35 U.S.C. 371 is acknowledged

Claims

4. The claims are: 1 to 11.

Election/Restrictions

- 5. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- This application contains inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive entity concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 6. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - Invention I. Claims 1 to 10, drawn to glass, classified in class 501, subclass 1.
 - Invention II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of manufacturing, classified in class 427, subclass 127.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/505,282

Art Unit: 1773

7. Inventions I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1; under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features.

Page 3

They lack the same special technical feature for the following reason:

The glass and manufacturing method of inventions I and II lack the same technical feature; the invention I is not limited to a glass substrate that was heated below the glass transition temperature 300°-600° C.

8. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic, claim 1, in invention I. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are:

- Species A: drawn to glass containing SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , CaO and K_2O as essential components for the inventive concept.
- Species B: drawn to glass containing SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, K₂O and Na₂O and having a nominal chemically strengthened layer as essential components for the inventive concept.
- Species C: drawn to glass containing SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, Na₂O and BaO, with ZrO₂ and having a nominal chemically strengthened layer as essential components for the inventive concept.
- Species D: drawn to a glass substrate having information recording layer formed on the substrate as essential components for the inventive concept.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,282 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

9. If invention I is elected, applicants are required, in reply to this action, to elect a *single* species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any subsequently added. *An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims* are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 10. Upon a the allowance of a generic claim, applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicants must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).
- 11. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Claims 2 and 3 correspond to species A.

Claims 4 and 5 correspond to species B.

Claims 6 and 7 correspond to species C.

Claims 8, 9 and 10 correspond to species D.

Claim 1 is generic.

12. The species listed above does not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

Application/Control Number: 10/505,282 Page 5

Art Unit: 1773

corresponding special technical features for the reason that the materials of species A, B, C and D are not considered obvious formula and lamina variants absent tangible evidence to the contrary. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 13. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

CONCLUSION

- 15. The claims are 1 to 11.
 - Restriction has been required.
 - Information Disclosure Statements have been received, but not considered in this action.

INQUIRES

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis Falasco, PhD whose telephone number is (571)272-1507. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol D. Chaney, PhD can be reached at (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LF 10/06

CAROL CHANEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Carof Chancy